### **lloydbore** ECOLOGY

33 ST GEORGE'S PLACE CANTERBURY KENT CT1 1UT

T 01227 464340 F 01227 464341

mail@lloydbore.co.uk www.lloydbore.co.uk

### ECOLOGICAL SCOPING

KCC FOXWOOD SCHOOL HYTHE, KENT

### SURVEY

**APRIL 2007** 

Site: KCC Foxwood School Seabrook Road Hythe Kent

### **Ecological Scoping Survey**

For: CTM Architects Ltd.


### Contents

1.0	Introduction	2
2.0	The Survey	5
3.0	Site Description	6
4.0	Potential Nature Conservation Interests	7
5.0	Summary and Recommendations	12
	Plan 1200/B/01	
	Appendix A - Legislation	14
	Appendix B – Extract from bats in trees leaflet	18

### 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This report details an ecological scoping survey in respect of proposed development of a site at KCC Foxwood School, Seabrook Road, Hythe, Kent.
- 1.2 The site location and layout is shown in Section 1.7. Photographs are included in Section 1.8.
- 1.3 The proposed site lies near the south coast on a south-facing slope and is bordered to the north, east and west by low-density residential development with extensive gardens. The proposed development site includes school buildings, outbuildings, hardstanding with areas of mown amenity grassland, and a tennis court. There are significant areas of mature ornamental shrubs planted around the school buildings which are set within existing woodland with bordering areas of scrub.
- 1.4 Nigel Thorpe of CTM Architects Ltd. has requested an assessment of the site to determine potential nature conservation interests prior to preparing development proposals.
- 1.5 This survey was undertaken to assess the presence and potential presence of species given protected status under current legislation. These species are listed in schedules of the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (the Habitat Regulations) and of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Also assessed were species of principal importance for biodiversity conservation listed in Section 74(2) of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, and birds on the red and amber lists of birds of conservation concern (Appendix A).
- 1.6 The survey findings are detailed in this report with consideration given to possible impacts of the proposed development on nature conservation interests of the site, in accordance with information relevant to Planning Policy Statement 9 (Biodiversity and Geological Conservation).

### 1.7 Site Location



N 1 not to scale

Site location, See below for detailed plan

Reproduced from 1997/8 Ordnance Survey data with permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office, © Crown copyright Lloyd Bore Landscape Architects, 33 St. George's Place, Canterbury, Kent. CT1 1UT. Licence No. 100029570. This Ordnance Survey data is courtesy of Get Mapping UK.



N 1 not to scale

Site

Lloyd Bore Ecology 33 St Georges Place Canterbury Kent

### 1.8 Photographs













Lloyd Bore Ecology 33 St Georges Place Canterbury Kent

CT1 1UT

### 2.0 The Survey

Site:	Foxwood School Seabrook Road Hythe Kent						
Grid Reference:	TR 175 350						
Date of Survey:	April 2 <sup>nd</sup> 2007						
Survey Requested:	CTM Architects Ltd.						
Surveyors:	Alex Ewing, MSc MIEEM						
	For and on behalf of Lloyd Bore ecology						
Purpose of Survey:	To identify areas with potential nature conservation interests						
Survey Method:	<ul> <li>Daytime inspection of accessible parts of the site including</li> <li>areas of vegetation</li> <li>water-bodies</li> <li>buildings and structures</li> <li>To look for signs of protected species including</li> <li>droppings / latrine sites</li> <li>resting / roosting sites</li> <li>footprints / tracks</li> <li>signs of feeding</li> </ul>						
Time on Site:	10.45 – 12.45						
Weather:	Mild (16° C), light breeze, dry, 0/8 <sup>ths</sup> cloud cover						
Limitations:	This assessment did not include detailed surveys for protected species. The assessment was made to determine the potential for the presence of protected species based on the character and nature of the site, its current use and location. Generally a site inspection during April should provide an opportunity to make a reasonably complete assessment of the likely presence of protected species						

### 3.0 Site Description

- 3.1 Foxwood School is located on the eastern edge of the coastal town of Hythe, approximately 6 km due west of Sandgate, near Folkestone, in a low density residential area. The A259 coastal road between Hythe and Folkestone runs due south of the site. To the south, less than 500 metres away lies the Royal Military Canal. To the north is an extensive golf course at Sene Valley. The site is located to the south of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.
- 3.2 The site comprises school buildings and other outbuildings with large areas of hard-standing set within mown amenity grass including playing fields and an all-weather tennis court. With a steeply sloping aspect the site is laid out on different levels. To the north and south of the school buildings are landscaped areas fringed by mature woodland. The northern, eastern and western boundaries of the site border residential development, generally planted with mature shrub borders for screening.
- 3.3 The site is surrounded by several <sup>1</sup>SSSIs, the closest lies approximately 2 km to the north of the site near Bargrove. There is an extensive SSSI at West Hythe, approximately 3 km to the west of the site. Several <sup>2</sup>SNCIs surround the site, the closest being at Saltwood, Scene Wood and Paraker Wood (which are also areas of ancient woodland) each approximately 1 km from the site.
- 3.4 There appear to be some natural links for wildlife between the site and nearby areas of wildlife importance, particularly to the north. To the south of the site a major road, adjoining residential development and the Royal Military Canal may form a barrier to the movement of some terrestrial wildlife.
- 3.5 There is a water-body on the south side of the site, outside of the proposed development area.
- 3.6 Generally the site is considered to be within an area of moderate biodiversity value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SSSI Site of Special Scientific interest - Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, (Britain's domestic legislation to implement the Birds Directive) the Government has a duty to notify as an SSSI any land which in its opinion is of special interest for its flora, fauna, geological or physiographical features. SSSIs are thus Britain's best sites nationally for wildlife and geology. A SSSI is given certain protection against damaging operations, which must be authorised by Natural England. A SSSI also has a certain amount of planning protection. The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 strengthened the Wildlife & Countryside Act, including giving English Nature greater powers to prevent damage to SSSIs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SNCI Site of Nature Conservation Interest - are sites that are recognised to be of county importance for wildlife. They have no statutory designations but nevertheless contribute to diverse and outstanding wildlife heritage. Their value is recognised by Local Authorities in relation to any planning procedures that may have an effect on wildlife sites and by other organisations, including DEFRA when administrating agri-environment grant schemes.

### 4.0 Potential Nature Conservation Interests

### 4.1 Flora

Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 as amended by the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, it is an offence, with certain exceptions, to intentionally pick, uproot or destroy any wild plant listed in Schedule 8 of the Act or if not an authorised person, to intentionally uproot any wild plant not included in that Schedule. A small number of the listed plants also receive protection under the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (the Habitat Regulations).

- 4.1.1 Several trees were noted on the site, including Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), Leylandii (*Cupressoparis leylandii*), field maple (*Acer campestre*), with ornamental cherry (*Prunus spp.*) planted as landscaped screening, together with beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*), and willow (*Salix spp.*) amongst others. It is understood that these trees will be retained and will not be affected by proposed development.
- 4.1.1 There was evidence of previous arboricultural management on the site.
- 4.1.2 A wide variety of mature shrubs were recorded around the site generally and noticeably around the driveway entrance to the site. These included, amongst others, cultivated privet (*Ligustrum ovalifolium*), elder (*Sambucus nigra*), buddleia (*Buddleia davidii*), cotoneaster (*Cotoneaster spp.*), hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*), honeysuckle (*Lonicera spp.*), tamarisk (*Tamarix spp.*), holly (*Ilex aquifolium*), cherry laurel (*Prunus spp.*), gorse (*Ulex spp.*) bramble (*Rubus spp.*) and ivy (*Helix hedera*), amongst others.
- 4.1.3 Asiatic knot-weed (Fallopia spp.) was recorded on the site.
- 4.1.4 Flora of semi-natural habitat were recorded around an area of wet flushes to the south of the site. These included rushes (*Molinia spp.*) and sedges (*Carex spp.*) amongst others with herbs such as forget-me-not (*Myotis spp.*), comfrey (*Symphytum officinale*), white nettle (*Lamium album*), lords-and-ladies (*Arum spp.*,) willow herb (*Epilobium spp.*), iris (*Iris spp.*) primrose (*Primula spp.*), and nettle (*Urtica dioeca*) amongst others. Common weeds of mown amenity grassland including daisy (*Bellis perennis*) and plantain (*Plantago spp*) were present within the proposed development area. It was noted that a small area of woodland to the north of the main car park had blue-bells (*Hyacinthoides non-scripta*).
- 4.1.5 No notable species were seen or are expected here. It is considered that the site has generally low botanical interest and that the proposed development would have little significant impact on floral diversity in the locality.

### 4.2 Bats

These species are protected under the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (the Habitat Regulations) and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 as amended. Under this legislation, it is an offence to damage or destroy a breeding site or a resting place of any bat, or to deliberately capture, kill or disturb a bat.

- 4.2.1 The survey site is situated in an urban-rural fringe with features such as extensive woodland areas, grassland and water bodies nearby. These would contribute to make this an area of high potential for bats.
- 4.2.2 Although no search of available records has been undertaken it is known from published records that there are bats within a 2 km radius of the site. The surrounding suitable habitat could potentially provide some foraging areas and corridors for movement of bats within the landscape. However no bats or signs of bats were found during the external inspection of the buildings or of the inspection of trees at Foxwood School. This walkover daytime survey does not however rule out their presence at other times of the year or their presence inside the school buildings.

### Buildings:

- 4.2.3 The school buildings date from two periods; Foxwood House was built in the inter-war years and is a brick built, 2-storey building with later annexes. The roofs of the main house and attached buildings are generally flat and are covered with roofing felt. These annexes are of modern construction and are of a design that is not noted for its potential for roosting bats. However a small section of the roof of the main building towards the main entrance is pitched and tiled; this type of construction has potential to provide roosting opportunities for bats. It was noted that some of the tiles on this section of the roof were loose or missing. The condition of the soffits and fascias of the buildings generally appeared to be in a reasonable state of maintenance with few cracks or crevices.
- 4.2.4 Within the school grounds are more modern brick-built buildings, including a row of garages. These had flat roofs covered with roofing felt and were of a design that is not noted for its potential for roosting bats. Wooden sheds with flat roofs covered with roofing felt and a similarly designed summer house were also noted. All of these outbuildings were of modern construction and generally in a good state of repair. Again, generally they were not noted as having potential for roosting bats.

Trees:

4.2.5 It was noted that there were some mature trees with potential for roosting sites for bats, having features such as dense ivy, crevices, and cracks that may be associated with bat roost sites. These trees are shown on Plan 1200/B/01.

- 4.2.6 Where there are lines of trees and mature shrub growth on the site, these are likely to provide some foraging for bats and would act as an important feature along which bats would move as they travel through the wider landscape.
- 4.2.7 It is anticipated that bats will forage regularly within and close to the site; therefore, bats may roost occasionally within suitable buildings and trees.
- 4.2.8 The possible presence of bats within the school's buildings and trees should be taken into account before and during development work on the site.

### 4.3 Reptiles

Slow worms (Anguis fragilis), common lizard (Lacerta vivipara), adder (Vipera berus) and grass snake (Natrix natrix) are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 Sections 9(1) and 9(5) from deliberate injury, deliberate killing and trade.

- 4.3.1 Although a record search for reptiles was not undertaken prior to preparing this report, published records show that adder, slow-worm, common lizard and grass snake have all been recorded within a 2 km radius of the proposed development site (Philp, 1998: KRAG, 2003). It is understood that grass snake have been recorded on the site (pers. comm.).
- 4.3.2 It is considered that within the boundaries of the site overgrown grass, bramble scrub and rubble could provide potentially ideal conditions for reptiles to move into and forage around and within the site (Plan 2100/B/01).
- 4.3.3 Reptiles are also expected to be present in adjoining gardens to the north, east and west of the site where there is suitable potential habitat. There are few barriers that would restrict reptiles from entering the site from such suitable nearby habitat.
- 4.3.4 The site is generally mown to the edges of shrub borders or existing trees on the boundary and landscaped areas. Continuing the regime of mowing grass areas and managing scrub areas would both restrict reptiles moving into the proposed development areas as well as limiting the potential for an increased population linked to more suitable habitat being available on-site over time.
- 4.3.5 The survey site and surrounds are considered to have high potential for grass snake, slow worm and possibly common lizard. As such the possible presence of reptiles within these previously identified areas of the site should be taken into account before any development work commences.

### 4.4 Amphibians

These species are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Of particular interest is the great crested newt (Triturus cristatus). This species is also protected under the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (the Habitat Regulations).

- 4.4.1 Although a record search for amphibians was not undertaken prior to preparing this report, published records show that great crested newt have been recorded within a 2 km radius of the proposed development site (Philp, 1998: KRAG, 2003).
- 4.4.2 The respective O.S. map for the area shows no ponds to be within 500 metres of the site; however a small stream flows on the south side of the site, outside the proposed development area. It is considered that although the surrounding terrestrial habitat of the site may be favourable for great crested newts, there are no suitable breeding ponds nearby such that it is unlikely that great crested newts will be found on the proposed development site.

### 4.5 Birds

All wild birds, their nests and eggs are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 as amended by the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 and it is an offence, with certain exceptions, to intentionally:

- Kill, injure or take any wild bird.
- Take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird whilst it is in use or being built.
- Take or destroy the egg of any wild bird.
- 4.5.1 During the relatively brief site visit the following species were noted: goldfinch, wren, chaffinch, robin, blue tit (seen to be visiting a nest-box), great tit, dunnock (*red list species; birds of conservation concern*), blackbird, greater spotted woodpecker and herring gull. It is possible that these species may nest in suitable trees, hedges and scrub habitat around the margins of the site or on suitable roof-tops in the case of herring gull.
- 4.5.2 The site also offers good potential for foraging and roosting birds.
- 4.5.3 It is considered that the presence of breeding birds on site should be taken into account before development work commences.

### Other considerations

Badger

4.6.1 Although no signs of badger, their setts, trails or droppings, were seen during the survey visit it is understood that badger forage on the site (pers. comm.).

### Dormouse

4.6.2 On the basis of the survey it is considered that dormouse are unlikely to be present on the site. This may be because barriers exist which may limit their movement into the site from any nearby suitable habitat. In addition, it is considered that the site does not offer sufficient suitable habitat for this species.

### Water Vole and Otter

4.6.3 Although the Royal Military Canal lies close to the south of the sites there are numerous barriers that would restrict the movement of water voles and otters into the site. It is also considered that there is insufficient suitable habitat present on the site for these species; hence it is considered unlikely that they will be found on the site.

### Invertebrates

- 4.6.4 Although quantities of dead wood were found around the margins of the site, it was noted that there were few damaged or decaying trees observed on the site. The stream to the south of the site, along with the amenity grass land areas, may support associated invertebrate populations, however it is considered that there is generally low potential regarding invertebrate fauna associated with the site as a whole. It is not expected that proposed development will be of notable significance to invertebrate populations in the locality.
- 4.6.5 It is evident that rabbit and mole are present on the site; as these are not currently protected species there is no consideration for mitigation.

The site has a stream which as a Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Habitat should be retained and managed appropriately.

### **References:**

Philp, E.G (1998) Provisional atlas of the amphibians and reptiles of Kent. Transactions of the Kent FieldClub (1998) 15(2): 61-81Philp E.G. (2002) Provisional Kent Mammal Atlas. Kent Mammal Group (2002).

Kent Reptile and Amphibian Group (KRAG) (2003) Information Sheet – Number 3 Distribution Maps.

### 5.0 Summary and Recommendations

5.1.1 On the basis of the survey the possible presence of bats, reptiles, nesting birds and badgers should be considered when preparing development and management proposals for the site.

For these species, the following recommendations are made:

Bats

Trees

- 5.2.1 It is considered that there is potential for bats to roost within trees both along the boundaries of the site and within the site itself. This habitat is also considered likely to be important foraging habitat for bats.
- 5.2.2 Any works to trees should follow best practice guidelines (see information from Bats and Trees leaflet, Appendix B) to avoid risk to bats and should include the following:
  - Where proposed development works are expected to be in close proximity to or in other ways impact on boundary trees, such as the use of flood-lighting at night or tree-felling, then a specific survey for bats should be undertaken. This should include evening activity surveys during the period May to September to monitor the use of the trees by bats and depending on the findings, possibly also a dawn survey to check for roost sites in the trees.
  - Works on trees with bat potential should commence during the following periods when bats are less likely to be adversely affected if disturbed: mid-March to late April or during October
  - A licensed bat-worker should check trees identified as having potential for bats for the presence of roosting bats immediately before arboricultural works commence and / or before development works commence close to the trees.

In the event that bats are found during works, work should stop and advice should be obtained from the licensed batworker or from Natural England's Batline (0845 1300 228). Before disturbing any bats, bat roosts or access to bat roosts it may be necessary to apply for a European Protected Species Licence before commencing / continuing works on site. The licence will include provision for mitigation for bats to ensure safe roosting and timing of works to avoid disturbance at critical times during the life-cycle of the bats.

### Reptiles

- 5.3.1 On the basis of the survey it is anticipated that reptiles (slow-worm, grass snake, and possibly common lizard) may be present on the site where there is suitable habitat for foraging and shelter (Plan 2100/B/01).
- 5.3.2 If proposed development works extend into these areas then it is recommended that further survey work should be undertaken to determine presence / absence of reptiles, and to inform appropriate mitigation in respect of reptiles. Survey work is seasonal and should be undertaken at the appropriate time of year, ie. mid-March to September.
- 5.3.3 If reptiles are confirmed as present on site then mitigation will be required. Generally this will include relocation or translocation to a suitable receptor site, preferably on-site. The scale and extent of mitigation will depend on the species, distribution and density on site and on the availability of suitable on-site and off-site receptor sites.
- 5.3.4 If the proposed work does not extend into these areas it is recommended that provision be made for reptile fencing to prevent animals moving from the potential reptile habitat into the proposed working area.
- 5.3.5 Prior to any development of the site it is recommended that the existing mowing regime should continue in order to discourage reptiles from entering the proposed development area and to limit the area of suitable reptile habitat on site developing over time.

### Birds

- 5.4.1 Birds are expected to nest on site during summer months (generally mid-March to early August); nesting is expected within suitable trees, including fruit trees, shrubs and bramble scrub.
- 5.4.2 Starting development works outside the breeding season would avoid complications arising as a result of the presence of nesting birds. It is strongly recommended that if the proposed works intrude into the hedge boundaries then work should not start during the nesting season.

Any activities in the more open areas of the site could start at any time during the year but if starting during the breeding season then the following best practice is recommended:

- A pre-check around trees and hedgerows by a suitably qualified ecologist to ensure that there are no active nests within or close to the proposed area of works
- If active nests are found within or close to the working area then all works that would disturb the discovered nest should cease and the nest left undisturbed until after the young birds have fledged.

### Drawing 1200/01





### Legend



Potential reptile habitat

Notable trees

drawing number.

CTM Architects Foxwood School

Annotated Site Plan



### lloydbore ECOLOGY

33 ST GEORGE'S PLACE CANTERBURY KENT CT1 1UT Tel: 01227 464340 Fax: 01227 464341 mail@lloydbore.co.uk www.lloydbore.co.uk

1200/B/01

1200

### Appendix A

### Protected Species Legislation (General Notes)

- 1.1 The legal protection of animals and plants in the United Kingdom is mainly provided for by:
  - The Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 as amended by the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000,
  - The Habitats and Species Directive (92/43/EC) enacted through the Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c) Regulations 1994, and
  - The Protection of Badgers Act 1992.

The level of protection for each species varies according to the conservation status of the species.

- 1.2 The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 supplemented existing legislation for wildlife protection by prohibiting reckless acts that result in the killing or injuring of protected species.
- 1.3 Great crested newts, dormouse and bats are among the species afforded the highest level of protection. These species are listed in Schedule 5 of the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 and in Schedule 2 of the Habitat Regulations. For example for bats the legislation makes it illegal to:
  - Intentionally or deliberately kill, injure or capture (or take) bats;
  - Deliberately disturb bats (whether in a roost or not);
  - Recklessly disturb roosting bats or obstruct access to their roosts
  - Damage or destroy bat roosts
  - Possess or transport a bat or any part of a bat, unless acquired legally,
  - Sell (or offer for sale) or exchange bats, or parts of bats.

The legislation requires that development works affecting these species are subject to a licence granted by an appropriate authority. This authority is currently The Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra).

- 1.4 All wild birds (birds in a wild state resident in or visiting Great Britain) and their nests and eggs are protected under the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981. Particular emphasis is given to the protection of breeding birds. With certain exceptions, it is an offence to intentionally:
  - Kill, injure or take wild birds
  - Take, damage or destroy the nest of wild birds while in use or being built
  - Take or destroy the eggs of wild birds
  - Disturb wild birds listed in Schedule 1 when nest building or at a nest containing eggs or young, or disturb dependent young of wild birds

- 1.5 Reptiles, including common lizards, slow worms and grass snakes, are protected under the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 against deliberate killing, injuring and sale (Sub-Sections 9 (1) and 9 (5)). These species are listed in Schedule 5.
- 1.6 Badgers are protected under the Protection of Badgers Act 1992 which makes it illegal to:
  - Kill, injure or disturb a badger
  - Damage or destroy a badger sett
- 1.7 The habitat of water voles is protected under Section 9.4, Schedule 5 of the amended 1998 Wildlife and Countryside Act of 1981. This section of the Act protects the water vole's places of shelter or protection. It is an offence to intentionally:
  - Damage, destroy or obstruct access to any structure or place which water voles use for shelter or protection
  - Disturb water voles while they are using such a place
- 1.8 A small number of invertebrates including beetles, crickets, butterflies and moths are protected under Section 9, Schedule 5 of the amended 1998 Wildlife and Countryside Act of 1981 against deliberate killing, injuring and taking.
- 1.9 A number of plant species are protected under Section 13 of the amended 1998 Wildlife and Countryside Act of 1981. It is an offence to intentionally pick, uproot or destroy any wild plant listed in Schedule 8 of the Act. The list includes both higher plants including several of the rarer orchids and lower plants including several mosses and lichens.
- 1.10 In addition to legalisation, *Planning Policy Statement 9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation* (PPS 9) sets out the Government's national policies on biodiversity and geological conservation through the planning system. In terms of biodiversity the Statement requires local authorities to adhere to key principles to ensure that the potential impacts of planning decisions on biodiversity are fully considered. Development proposals provide many opportunities for building-in beneficial biodiversity as part of good design. When considering proposals, local planning authorities should maximise such opportunities in and around developments, using planning obligations where appropriate. Planning decisions should aim to maintain, and enhance, restore or add to biodiversity interests.

- 1.11 The Planning Policy Statement includes a list of habitats and species identified as requiring conservation action as species of principal importance. This list has been prepared by the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs under Section 74(2) of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000. It identifies the habitats and living organisms (species) which the Secretary of State, following consultation with her statutory nature conservation advisers, Natural England, considers are of principal importance for the conservation of biological diversity in England, in accordance with the 1992 UN Convention on Biological Diversity.
- 1.12 The list includes a range of species including birds, mammals, fish, invertebrates, higher and lower plants. The list will be kept under review and a report on any necessary revisions will be made as part of the first report on progress on the Biodiversity Strategy for England in 2006.
- 1.13 Habitat and Species Action Plans under the UK Biodiversity Action Plan are already in place or under preparation for all the listed habitats and species. The Biodiversity Strategy for England sets out the means by which the Government will comply with its duty under Section 74 to take or promote the taking by others of steps to further the conservation of the listed habitats and species, including through the continued implementation of the Action Plans. This includes provisions for Local Authorities to take measures to protect the listed species from further decline.
- 1.14 Though not part of legislation there are in addition published lists of species of conservation concern. For example:

### Birds of Conservation Concern.

The UK's leading non-governmental bird conservation organisations have agreed the priorities for bird conservation after reviewing the status of all bird species in the UK, Channel Islands and Isle of Man. This approach followed that of the government's steering Group on biodiversity and lead to the publication during 1996, of a list of Birds of Conservation Concern. This list is reviewed and was updated during 2002.

The list is divided into three sections: red, amber and green. The red list includes species that are of greatest concern and deserve urgent, effective conservation action. Amber list species are of medium conservation concern, while green list species must, at least, be monitored.

### Kent Red Data Species

These are those species that are found in Kent and which are currently recognised as being rare and most threatened.

Some of these species have restricted distributions or limited population sizes because of their ecological requirements. Others were once common in the countryside but have declined in numbers and /or range.

The details of the species of concern and of the basis for that concern are set out in **the Kent red data book** (a provisional guide to the rare and threatened flora and fauna of Kent. Published by Kent County Council. March 2000).

- retain trees known to be used by bats consider the minimum tree surgery required to make the tree safe
- consider meeting safety obligations by footpath away from a hazardous tree alternative action, e.g. rerouting a
- specify potential to damage or disturb consider felling as the last resort bats and their habitat in any contract
- consider the potential for improved habitat management

autumn (shaded months). This avoids non-flying young are present. vulnerable – during hibernation or when periods when bats are particularly Ideally work on likely trees in spring or

encourage plant and insect diversity remember that water and wetlands sheltered feeding areas include glades, rides and open areas as maintain or develop corridors of native in new planting use locally native trees

trees and shrubs

and shrubs from local origin

wildlife interest

Suitable trees can be designated as structure and variety of species. be done safely. Develop a broad age retain dead or dying trees where it car

veteran trees' to recognise their


provide important bat feeding areas

## **Best Practice guidelines**

- Planning and development Also see Bats and the Law (back page)
- Developers need to be aware that assessment of current use, likely effects and mitigation or habitat enhancement
- where changes in land use could affect development proposals at an early stage should be incorporated into
- considered and advice sought bats, the implications to bats should be
- when considering planning applications, authorities are required to take account of protected species,
- Tree management and survey including all bats, and their habitat
- When surveying trees
- clearance for the likelihood of bats assess trees designated for felling or

Seek advice as appropriate

			CEPTEMBER   MATING TAKES DI ACE	AUGUST FIRST, YOUNG LATER.	JULY FOR 6 WEEKS	JUNE FEMALES IN LARGE MATERNITY GROUPS.	MAY MOVE ROOST SITES	APRIL ACTIVE. TORPID IN	MARCH OCCASIONALLY WAKE	FEBRUARY SMALL GROUPS.	JANUARY BATS HIBERNATE,	A BAT'S YEAR
R PERIODS.	TOBBID	ES.	ES PLACE.	IG LATER.	S	LARGE GROUPS.	T SITES.	APID IN	LLY WAKE.	UPS.	NATE,	



# Signs of bat roosts in trees

- What to look for:
- dark staining on the tree below a hole obvious holes, cavities and splits
- natural oils in bats' fur staining around a hole caused by the
- the hole from the bats' claws a maze of tiny scratch marks around
- droppings below a hole they look to a powder of insect fragments similar to those of rodents, but crumble
- at dusk from a hole - especially on a hot day or noise (squeaking or chittering) coming
- on close inspection a hole may contain droppings or smell of bats
- emerging at dusk or returning at dawn. inserting a mirror, and watch for bats there is any history of bats, check holes by Apart from looking for these signs, ask if

### and woodland habitat **Conservation of mature trees**

thereby retaining their value to the made safe by pruning rather than felling, value of standing dead and dying trees. supported by guys. Branches can be supported by slings or props. may be possible to retain some trees environment. Where conditions permit, it pruning or felling. Often trees can be property, there may be no alternative to presents a real danger to people or Where a mature tree or part of a tree increasing recognition of the conservation being 'tidied up'. However, there is result in mature trees and dead wood Management practices have tended to Tree management

suitable trees so plant new trees now. It is important to ensure a succession of trees as possible

and as many immediately adjacent

- When preparing to cut a tree look for evidence of bat occupancy (see Signs of bat roosts in trees)
- remember that bats may offer little or no evidence of their occupation

### Best working practice

- cut as far above any likely hole as Exact advice cannot be given, but try to Bats may be anywhere inside a hole. possible
- if in doubt whether it is a roost, do not cut, seek advice
- thin or reduce the crown of the tree as such splits for bats before removing large limbs and consider wedging open close when weight is taken off. Search bats may be inside cracks held open by the weight of a branch, which will
- when felling ivy-clad trees, once felled objective little as is necessary to achieve the
- limbing and removing ivy allow a 24 hour rest period before

in the future.

### When bats are found

- If the roost is still on the tree and bats harm's way are not injured, seek advice. If help is not available, allow bats to fly out of
- if the timber is felled, the roost is not available, position the roost off the seek help. If help is not readily relocate of their own accord ground, re-open it and allow bats to temporarily seal and isolate roost and exposed and the bats are not injured.
- if roost has been exposed, and collect bats into a secure box or bag especially if bats have been injured. (using a glove) and seek advice
- likely to affect bats and to improve advice form a better assessment of situations and bat species if known. This will help us date, locality, type of tree, situation in tree qualified people. In any case, please note The bats may need attention from local bat group as soon as possible. The Bat Conservation Trust, or the occupy a tree, please inform the SNCO, In all cases where bats are found to

### Bats and the law

provisions making it illegal to: are also included in Schedule 2 of the and Countryside Act (Schedule 5). They All bats are protected under the Wildlife The Act and Regulations include Conservation Regulations 1994

is the only national organisation solely devoted to

The Bat Conservation Trust

For further information

Send for further information and advice. bats through practical conservation projects the conservation of bats and their habitats. BCT

research and education. Membership available. and our network of over 90 local bat groups helps

The Bat Conservation Trust,

15 Cloisters House, 8 Battersea Park Road

ondon SW8 4BG.

- deliberately disturb bats (whether in a or capture (take) bats intentionally or deliberately kill, injure
- damage, destroy or obstruct access to roost or not)

Organisations (SNCOs) Statutory Nature Conservation Web site: http://www.bats.org.uk

**English Nature** 

A bat roost is interpreted as 'any structure

Scottish Natural Heritage,

2 Hope Terrace, Edinburgh EH9 2AS

The Tree Council Tel: 0131 4474784. Gwynedd LL57 2LQ. Tel: 01248 385 500

Plas Penrhos, Ffordd Penrhos, Bangor, **Countryside Council for Wales**, Tel: 01733 455000

Northminster House, Peterborough PE1 1UA.

bat roosts

roosts the appropriate Statutory Nature which are important for bats, and to similar provisions are made by The sought, the better. In Northern Ireland method to be used. The earlier advice is to advise on whether the proposed work be notified and allowed a reasonable time or place which is used for shelter or areas for bats from damage or disturbance identify and protect important feeding Convention) and obliged to protect sites the Conservation of Bats in Europe (Bonn The UK is also party to the Agreement on Wildlife Act of Tynwald. and in the Isle of Man by the 1990 Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order 1985 should be carried out and, if so, the Conservation Organisation (SNCO) must likely to destroy or disturb bats or their present at the time. If proposed work is protection', whether or not bats are

> The Tree Council, 51 Catherine Place, London SW1E 6DY. Tel: 020 7828 9928 organisations concerned with the management acts as an umbrella body for a wide range of

Information Service provides advice and

and conservation of trees and woodland habitat

Aubrey Warner Illustrations by





long-term survival of bats.

work but to minimise its impact on the These laws are not designed to prevent

Gothic Weekends

Endangered British Mammals Fund and Whitby

acknowledge the financial support of the The Bat Conservation Trust wishes to Notes: Trees and bats.

Farnham, Surrey GU10 4LH. Tel: 01794 368 717 for details of *Guidance* and experience, both national and international

A.A.I.S. Alice Holt Lodge, Wrecclesham, information about trees based on research results The Arboricultural Advisory and

ENGLISH

© The Bat Conservation Trust, 2000

by guarantee (no. 2712823) and a Registered The Bat Conservation Trust is a company limited